

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vingnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/625,017	07/25/2000	David LeVine	JMBDP002	JMBDP002 7171	
24271	7590 09/24/2003				
JOHN ALEXANDER GALBREATH			EXAMINER		
	NUT WOODS CT DWN, MD 21136		HAYES,	JOHN W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621		
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/625,017	LEVINE, DAVID		
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit		
	John W Hayes	3621	1	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars n the cover sheet with th	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The apprount of the fee.	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);		
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);			
<ul> <li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	Γ place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	enewly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	nd an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 20</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•		
10. Other:	/	John W Hayes Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3621	jes	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations to claims 1 and 20 in the after final amendment would require further consideration and/or search since they have not previously been considered. Examiner submits that a thorough search of the prior art has been conducted relating to the subject matter of the claimed invention, however, it would be impossible for the examiner to anticipate future amendments to the claimed invention and conduct a search for any anticipated amendments to the claimed invention. Furthermore, the MPEP clearly states that, once a proper final rejection has been entered in an application, applicant or patent owner no longer has any right to unrestricted further prosecution. Amendments that will place the application either in condition for allowance or in better form for appeal may be entered (MPEP 714.12). Neither of these cases is true for the present application. The claims are not in condition for allowance since the newly added limitations have not yet been considered or searched. Also, examiner submits that the newly added limitations raise a new issue rather than placing the claims in better form for appeal. The MPEP further states that if an amendment necessitates a new search, raises the issue of new matter, presents additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims, or otherwise introduces new issues, it will not be entered (MPEP 1207). Examiner submits that the additional limitations added to claims 1 and 20 relating to "the report being a sample of the prior use" changes the scope of the claims sufficiently enough as to require a new search and, therefore, the amendment will not be entered. Applicant further states that the amendment should be entered for purposes of appeal. Examiner respectfully disagrees with this assertion since the amendments to the claims add additional limitations that have not been previously considered and, therefore, do not place the application in better form for appeal, but rather, raise a new issue. .